

Clinical Practice Guideline Overview

Diagnosis and Prevention of Periprosthetic Joint Infections

Published March 11, 2019

This clinical practice guideline addresses interventions employed to mitigate the risk for periprosthetic joint infection (PJI) in primary hip and knee arthroplasty and explores tools available to diagnose PJI.

Photo courtesy of Antonia Chen, MD, MBA, FAAOS





Literature Review

9,328 abstracts reviewed



1,283
articles recalled for full review



248
articles included
after full text review
and quality analysis



Strong and Moderate Guideline Recommendations*



Strong evidence supports the use of the following to aid in the preoperative diagnosis of prosthetic joint infection (PJI): Serum erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR); Serum C-reactive protein (CRP); Serum interleukin-6.



Strong evidence supports the use of histopathology to aid in the diagnosis of PJI.



Moderate strength evidence supports that obesity is associated with increased risk of periprosthetic joint infection (PJI).



Moderate strength evidence does not support the clinical utility of the following to aid in the diagnosis of PJI: Peripheral blood leukocyte count; Serum tumor necrosis factor-a.



Moderate strength evidence supports the use of the following to aid in the diagnosis of prosthetic joint infection (PJI): Synovial fluid leukocyte count and neutrophil percentage; Synovial fluid aerobic and anaerobic bacterial cultures; synovial fluid leukocyte esterase; Synovial fluid alpha-defensin (a-defensin); Synovial fluid C-reactive protein (CRP); Synovial fluid nucleic acid amplification testing [(e.g., polymerase chain reaction (PCR)] for bacteria.



Moderate strength evidence supports the use of the following to aid in the diagnosis of prosthetic joint infection (PJI): Multiple aerobic and anaerobic bacterial periprosthetic tissue cultures; Implant sonication fluid aerobic and anaerobic bacterial cultures; Implant sonication fluid nucleic acid amplification testing (e.g., PCR) for bacteria.



Moderate strength evidence supports that the practitioner avoid the use of intraoperative gram stain to rule out periprosthetic joint infection.



Moderate evidence supports avoiding administration of antimicrobials in patients suspected of having a periprosthetic joint infection until cultures have been obtained and a diagnosis has been established.



Strong evidence supports

that preoperative prophylactic
antibiotics be given prior to revision
surgery in patients at low preoperative
suspicion for periprosthetic infection
and those with an established diagnosis
of periprosthetic joint infection
of known pathogen who are
undergoing reoperation.



Future Research

Consideration for future research is provided for each recommendation. Review of the published literature does indicate two overarching themes: (1) complex and interrelated modifiable / non-modifiable patient factors as an important aspect in understanding risk for PJI, and (2) ongoing challenges in accurately ruling in or ruling out PJI.

Learn More at OrthoGuidelines!

* Please visit **OrthoGuidelines.org** to view the limited and consensus recommendations and all recommendation rationale for this guideline.



